

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

6  
7  
8  
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 BIOTECHNOLOGY VALUE FUND, L.P.,  
11 BIOTECHNOLOGY VALUE FUND II,  
12 L.P., INVESTMENT 10, L.L.C., BVF  
13 INVESTMENTS, L.L.C., BVF INC., and  
14 BVF X, LLC,

No. C 13-03248 WHA

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17 CELERA CORPORATION, QUEST  
18 DIAGNOSTICS INCORPORATED,  
CREDIT SUISSE SECURITIES (USA)  
LLC, KATHY ORDONEZ, RICHARD H.  
AYERS, JEAN-LUC BELINGARD,  
WILLIAM G. GREEN, PETER BARTON  
HUTT, GAIL M. NAUGHTON, WAYNE  
I. ROE, and BENNET M. SHAPIRO,

19 Defendants.

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ORDER RE STIPULATION TO  
EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND  
TO AMENDED COMPLAINT  
AND TO SET MODIFIED  
BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR  
MOTIONS TO DISMISS**

The parties stipulated to an extension of time for defendants to respond to the amended complaint (Dkt. No. 27). Under Local Rule 6-1(a), this is permissible without a court order. The parties, however, further agreed to a modified briefing schedule for defendants' motions to dismiss. Although the briefing schedule departs significantly from the normal 35-day track, the parties have not provided adequate justification for such departure. Accordingly, this briefing schedule is **DENIED**. Defendants will file the motions to dismiss on a normal 35-day track.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: October 7, 2013.

  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE